

Community-acquired respiratory virus infections in patients with haematological malignancies or undergoing haematopoietic cell transplantation: updated recommendations from the 10th European Conference on Infections in Leukaemia



Marie von Lilienfeld-Toal, Fareed Khawaja, Francesca Compagno, Christine Robin, José-Luis Piñana, Simone Cesaro, Hermann Einsele, Per Ljungman, David Navarro, Michael Boeckh, Roy F Chemaly*, Hans H Hirsch*



To update recommendations of the 4th European Conference on Infections in Leukaemia (ECIL-4) on community-acquired respiratory virus (CARV) infections published in 2013, we reviewed publications from between Jan 1, 2014, and June 30, 2024 on adenovirus, bocavirus, coronavirus, influenzavirus, metapneumovirus, parainfluenzavirus, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), and rhinovirus in patients with haematological malignancies or undergoing haematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT), or both. In the current ECIL recommendations (ECIL-10), we outline a common approach to infection control, laboratory testing, and diagnosis for all CARVs (including SARS-CoV-2) and specific management and deferral strategies for CARVs other than SARS-CoV-2. For influenzavirus, seasonal inactivated-vaccines and early antivirals are recommended, whereas routine antiviral prophylaxis is discouraged for immunocompromised patients. For RSV, licensed vaccines can be considered according to local approval, despite scarce evidence for patients with haematological malignancies and those undergoing HCT. Passive immunisation with palivizumab or nirsevimab is recommended for children younger than 2 years, but data are insufficient for pre-exposure or post-exposure prophylaxis, or treatment of older children and adults. Oral ribavirin or intravenous immunoglobulins, or a combination of the two, are recommended for patients undergoing HCT with severe immunodeficiency scores. For other CARVs, recommendations include only supportive care, improving immune functions, correcting hypogammaglobulinaemia, and judicious lowering of corticosteroids. We highlight unmet needs in immunisation and antivirals for reducing CARV-associated morbidity and mortality in patients with haematological malignancies and those undergoing HCT.

Introduction

Community-acquired respiratory viruses (CARVs) are major causes of morbidity and mortality in the general population. Children, older adults, and immunocompromised people are at the highest risk for severe manifestations and poor outcomes.^{1,2} CARVs particularly affect patients with haematological malignancies, patients undergoing autologous or allogeneic haematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT), and patients receiving other treatments such as B-cell targeting small molecule drugs, monoclonal or bispecific antibodies, or chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy.^{3–5} Risk factors for severity and poor outcomes include the underlying disease, short-term and long-term effects of chemotherapy and conditioning regimens, persistent hypogammaglobulinaemia, and immunosuppressive effects of medications administered for treating the underlying disease or adverse immune responses such as graft-versus-host disease (GVHD).^{1,4–7}

The pathophysiology of respiratory tract infectious disease (RTID) caused by CARVs (CARV-RTID) has been reviewed previously.¹ RTID is caused by absent or suppressed humoral and cellular immunity specific to CARVs that is needed to neutralise and terminate infections early following viral exposure. Extensive and prolonged CARV replication drives cytopathic damage in

the respiratory mucosal and epithelial lining. Excessive activation of the innate immune response aggravates symptoms and signs of local and systemic inflammation, which results in diffuse alveolar damage, reduced oxygen saturation, life-threatening capillary leakage, and increased pulmonary fibrosis. Moreover, impaired mucociliary clearance increases the risk of bacterial and fungal co-infections, all of which can contribute to acute and long-term morbidity and mortality of CARV-RTID.¹ Lower RTID (LRTID) is particularly critical and can result from primary viral pneumonia caused by agents such as influenzavirus A or B or SARS-CoV-2. However, most cases arise after upper RTID (URTID).⁸ The leading causes of LRTID in patients undergoing HCT and those with haematological malignancies are influenzavirus A and B, RSV, human metapneumovirus (HMPV), human parainfluenzaviruses (HPIVs), SARS-CoV-2, and human adenoviruses (HAdVs). Cases attributed to human rhinoviruses (HRhVs) or human coronaviruses (HCoV) have been described, whereas information is scarce on human bocaviruses (HBoVs) and human polyomaviruses. Notably, the progression to LRTID has been correlated with clinical and laboratory surrogates of impaired immune functions.^{9,10} Thus, immunodeficiency scoring has been helpful in identifying patients undergoing allogeneic HCT at high risk for progression to LRTID,

Lancet Infect Dis 2025

Published Online
August 27, 2025
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(25\)00365-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(25)00365-2)

*Contributed equally

Institute for Diversity Medicine, Ruhr-University Bochum, Bochum, Germany (Prof M von Lilienfeld-Toal); Universitätsklinikum Knappschaftskrankenhaus Bochum—Hämatologie, Onkologie, Stammzelltransplantation und Zelltherapie, Bochum, Germany (Prof M von Lilienfeld-Toal); The Department of Infectious Diseases, Infection Control, and Employee Health, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA (F Khawaja MD, Prof R F Chemaly MD); Oncoematologia Pediatrica, Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia, Italy (F Compagno MD); Service d'hématologie clinique et de thérapie cellulaire, DMU Cancer, Hôpital Henri Mondor, Creteil, France (C Robin MD); Department of Hematology, Hospital Clínico Universitario of Valencia, INCLIVA, Biomedical Research Institute, Valencia, Spain (Prof J-L Piñana MD); Paediatric Haematology Oncology, Department of Mother and Child, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Integrata, Verona, Italy (Prof S Cesaro MD); Department of Internal Medicine II, University of Würzburg, Germany (Prof H Einsele MD); Department of Medicine Huddinge, Karolinska Institutet and Department of Cellular Therapy and Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation, Karolinska Comprehensive

Cancer Center, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden (Prof P Ljungman MD); Microbiology Service, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valencia, INCLIVA Health Research Institute, Valencia, Spain (Prof D Navarro MD); Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain (Prof D Navarro); Vaccine and Infectious Disease & Clinical Research Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, WA, USA (Prof M Boeckh MD); Division of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA (Prof M Boeckh); Department of Medical Biology, Faculty of Health Sciences, UiT The Arctic University of Tromsø, Tromsø 9027, Norway (Prof H H Hirsch MD); Transplantation & Clinical Virology, Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland (Prof H H Hirsch MD)

Correspondence to: Prof Hans H Hirsch, Transplantation & Clinical Virology, Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel, Basel CH-4009, Switzerland hans.hirsch@unibas.ch

hospital admission, intensive care, and death.^{3,10–13} Vaccination, infection control, prompt diagnostic testing, early interventions aimed at improving immune effector cell functions, and initiation of antiviral treatment, if available, can mitigate otherwise adverse CARV outcomes in patients with haematological malignancies, patients undergoing HCT, or patients receiving CAR T-cell and other therapies.

What is the epidemiology of CARVs in patients with haematological malignancies or patients undergoing HCT?

CARV infections in patients with haematological malignancies and patients undergoing HCT show a seasonality similar to the general population.¹ Although CARVs can be detected all year round, HRhVs predominate during the warmer seasons, whereas rates for influenzaviruses, RSVs, HMPVs, HPIVs, and HCoV increase during the colder seasons and can reach epidemic thresholds in both the northern and southern hemispheres. However, a dramatic decrease in the incidence of CARVs in the general population and in patients with haematological malignancies and those undergoing HCT were noted during the first phase of the 2009 influenza pandemic and during the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic.^{14,15} Although the number of patients undergoing autologous and allogeneic HCT briefly declined in Europe during the early phase of the COVID-19 pandemic (mostly due to the deferral of indications for non-malignant disorders),¹⁶ the relative rates of bacterial and fungal superinfections remained similar or even increased. These rates reflect the common pathophysiology following extensive viral cytopathic damage caused by SARS-CoV-2 and established CARVs, as well as the need for intensive care, invasive oxygenation, and the use of anti-inflammatory therapies.¹⁷ Following the post-pandemic resurgence of CARVs other than SARS-CoV-2 in the general population, CARV-RTID rates also increased among patients with haematological malignancies and patients undergoing HCT.¹⁸ Notably, the clinical impact of CARV infections remains highest among patients receiving high-dose chemotherapy, HCT conditioning, or high-dose corticosteroids, with

pronounced morbidity and mortality for influenzavirus A and B, SARS-CoV-2, RSVs, HMPVs, and HPIVs.^{3,10,12,13,19–23}

In this Review, we provide evidence-based updates of the 4th European Conference on Infections in Leukaemia recommendations on the management of CARV infections²⁴ (panel) in patients with haematological malignancies or patients undergoing HCT, published in 2013, and highlight unmet needs in immunisation and antivirals for reducing CARV-associated morbidity and mortality in these patients.

What virological tests are recommended for patients with haematological malignancies or patients undergoing HCT?

Nucleic acid testing (NAT) is the preferred method for a laboratory-confirmed diagnosis of CARV infection in patients with haematological malignancies and patients undergoing HCT (appendix p 2). Rapid NAT with turnaround times of less than 2 h are preferred to support timely decisions on hospital admission, infection control, modification or deferral of chemotherapy or conditioning procedures, and antiviral treatment (if available).^{10,25–29} Most commercially available assays, including multiplex NAT, report qualitative results by default.¹⁴ However, some licensed assays can obtain relative CARV loads by cycle threshold values or number of copies per mL of total nucleic acid extract.^{15,28,30–32} Quantitative NAT is used in some centres to determine CARV loads, allowing clinicians to track the specific virological course in affected patients and avoid costly repeats of multiplex NAT that provides solely qualitative results.^{30,32,33} Notably, sampling and assay variability and the absence of commutable standards currently preclude general recommendations regarding CARV loads or cycle threshold values. NAT also serves as a reference method for CARVs not routinely tested in local laboratories, such as avian influenzavirus A variants. Given the rising numbers of avian influenza cases in North America and elsewhere, NAT specific to avian influenzavirus A are provided by reference laboratories for a laboratory-confirmed diagnosis.³⁴

Direct antigen testing for CARVs can provide rapid results including by self-testing, independent of health-care providers or institutions. Nevertheless, health-care providers should interpret the results in the clinical context to decide whether confirmatory testing by NAT is needed, considering the potential consequences for medical care. Although positive antigen tests are considered to be reliable, negative results cannot rule out influenzavirus A or B, RSV, or SARS-CoV-2 because they are less sensitive than NATs³³ (appendix p 2). Virus isolation by cell culture technique has been largely abandoned because of long turnaround times and the need for expert laboratory resources. CARV-specific serology is not recommended for diagnosis or management of CARV-RTID, since antibody responses are particularly unreliable in immunocompromised

Development of recommendations

The methodology for European Conference on Infections in Leukaemia guidelines has been previously published (appendix p 1). Relevant studies identified through the literature search were reviewed by the working group, who proposed grading recommendations on the basis of the quality of evidence according to the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases grading system (appendix p 1). The suggested recommendations were discussed and presented in two plenary sessions of the tenth ECL meeting, held between Sept 19 and 21, 2024 in Sophia Antipolis, France.

See Online for appendix

patients with haematological malignancies and those undergoing HCT (appendix p 2).

How can CARV infections be prevented in patients with haematological malignancies and patients undergoing HCT?

Infection control measures are key to preventing CARV exposure of patients with haematological malignancies and patients undergoing HCT, both inside and outside of health-care facilities (table 1). Measures include avoiding crowding in public places and adhering to personal protection measures according to the epidemiological risk. Hospital access should be restricted for visitors or health-care providers with symptoms or signs of RTID. Contact between patients with haematological malignancies or those undergoing HCT and children should be regulated by an institutional policy in hospitals and facilities caring for such patients. CARVs differ in transmission efficacy and modality and in their inactivation-sensitivity to disinfection and hand-hygiene procedures.¹ Notwithstanding, we recommend uniform droplet and contact precautions for all patients with haematological malignancies or patients undergoing HCT with respiratory symptoms, or patients who test positive for CARVs (appendix p 3). For patients receiving in-patient care who test positive for CARVs, we recommend private rooms (and negative pressure rooms are preferred, if available). For patients in high-efficiency, particulate air-filtered hospital rooms because of profound and prolonged neutropenia, a local policy is needed to prevent nosocomial spread due to contaminated filters or positive pressure. We recommend the universal use of personal protective equipment for health-care providers and visitors.^{5,35} Prolonged CARV shedding in asymptomatic patients with haematological malignancies or undergoing HCT frequently exceeds 1–2 months after initial diagnosis^{8,20,28,32,36} and can be an important source of nosocomial spread,^{36,37} intrahost and interhost viral evolution, immune escape, and antiviral resistance.^{38,39} Immunosuppressive drugs and severe immunodeficiency scores have been correlated with prolonged shedding.^{30,32,38}

What are clinical considerations relevant to patients with haematological malignancies and those undergoing HCT?

Patients with haematological malignancies and patients undergoing HCT who are symptomatic are diagnosed as recommended previously,^{1,24} whereby confidence in diagnosis is based on clinical criteria supported by epidemiology, virological testing, imaging, and a clinician's judgement that the illness is due to an infectious agent (appendix p 4). However, patients receiving CAR T-cell therapies might show symptoms and signs mimicking infection, due to therapy-associated immune dysregulation.⁴⁰ For virological testing, samples should be obtained from the major site of clinical

	ESCMID grade*
Patients and contacts should adhere to good personal hygiene such as frequent hand washing, covering the mouth when coughing and sneezing, and disposing safely of oral and nasal secretions	All
Patients should avoid contact with individuals with RTIDs in the hospital and in the community	All
Outside of care facilities, patients should avoid crowding in public places and adhere to personal protection measures according to the local epidemiological risk	AIII
Inside care facilities, infection control measures should be applied to patients with RTIDs, which include private rooms and strict personal protection measures (droplet and contact precautions, including gloves, gowning, and masks) for health-care providers and visitors	Allt
All visitors and health-care providers with RTIDs caused by CARVs should be restricted from access to patients and wards	All
Because of the high risk of CARV exposure, prolonged shedding, and ease of transmission, contact between patients and children should be regulated by an institutional policy for outpatient and inpatient services	BlIt
Outpatients with RTIDs should be seen and treated in accordance with infection control measures—ie, in facilities and rooms separated from other patients undergoing HCT or with haematological malignancies	All
All of these recommendations should be applied to patients before and after the administration of CAR T cells or B-cell targeting antibody and drug therapy	BlIt

CAR=chimeric antigen receptor. CARV=community-acquired respiratory virus. ESCMID=European Society for Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. HCT=haematopoietic cell transplantation. RTID=respiratory tract infectious disease.*Recommendations graded according to the ESCMID grading system (appendix p 1). Further guidance on prevention is provided in the appendix (p 3).

Table 1: Recommendations for prevention of CARV infections in patients with haematological malignancies and patients undergoing HCT

involvement (table 2). Nasal swabs, nasopharyngeal swabs, or combined naso-oro-pharyngeal swabs are typically submitted for URTID. Saliva, buccal, nasal, or mid-turbinate swabbing (by patients themselves or health-care professionals) have been combined with nasopharyngeal swabbing, but might have lower sensitivity on their own.⁴¹ For LRTID, diagnostic considerations are detailed later in this section and include testing of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid.

Asymptomatic patients with haematological malignancies and patients undergoing HCT present a challenge for management as they could be: post-symptomatic (the period after clinical recovery from RTID is often associated with prolonged and high amounts of CARV shedding in this group); pre-symptomatic (if recently exposed), and therefore at risk of worsening; or truly asymptomatic and remain so during the entire follow-up.^{42,43} Importantly, chemotherapy, conditioning, or immunosuppressive medication are known to abruptly induce an adverse clinical course. Nevertheless, universal screening of asymptomatic patients on admission is controversial because of the financial and diagnostic

	ESCMID grade*
Diagnostic testing recommendations†	
HCT candidates or recipients presenting with URTID or LRTID should be tested for CARVs by multiplex NAT to guide infection control measures, treatment, and decisions regarding deferral of chemotherapy or HCT	All
For all patients with RTID due to be admitted to hospital or already admitted, comprehensive diagnostic NAT (CARV multiplex NAT) is recommended, covering influenza A and B, SARS-CoV-2, RSV, HPIV, HMPV, HRhV, HCoV, and HAdV	BIII
In health-care centres not providing CARV multiplex NAT, first-line diagnostic testing should be done for influenza A and B, SARS-CoV-2, and RSV, and if negative, followed by second-line diagnostic testing for HMPV and HPIV 1–4 or other specific CARVs (eg, HRhV and HAdV) as epidemiologically indicated	Allt
Specimens should be taken from the site of clinical involvement, preferably nasal, nasopharyngeal, or naso-opharyngeal swabs for URTID, or by bronchoalveolar lavage fluids for LRTID (or tracheal aspirate if bronchoalveolar lavage is not feasible)	All
Patients with LRTID caused by CARV should be considered for bronchoalveolar lavage and broader diagnostic testing	All
Lung biopsy (transbronchial, thoracoscopic, or open surgery techniques) remains an extreme diagnostic measure that could be considered to evaluate clinical failure and other concomitant pulmonary conditions	CIII
HBoV testing is not recommended for adults as studies suggest no clinical impact and question a pathogenic role of HBoV in patients undergoing HCT or patients with haematological malignancies	DIII
For paediatric patients, HBoV testing is not recommended because of insufficient or inconclusive data	CIII
Deferral recommendations‡	
For patients planned for allogeneic HCT and diagnosed with LRTID caused by CARV, deferral or reduced-intensity conditioning, if feasible, should be considered	All (deferral) or BIII (conditioning)
For patients planned for allogeneic HCT and diagnosed with URTID caused by CARV, deferral or reduced intensity conditioning, if feasible, should be considered for CARVs with high propensity for LRTID such as influenza A or B, RSV, HMPV, or HPIV	All (deferral) or BIII (conditioning)
For patients planned for autologous HCT and diagnosed with LRTID caused by CARV, deferral should be considered	All
For patients planned for autologous HCT and diagnosed with URTID caused by CARV, deferral of conditioning therapy should be considered for CARVs with high propensity for LRTID such as influenza A or B, RSV, HMPV, or HPIV	BIII
For patients with haematological malignancies planned for chemotherapy and diagnosed with LRTID caused by CARV, deferral should be considered	All
For patients with haematological malignancies planned for chemotherapy and diagnosed with URTID caused by CARV, deferral should be considered for CARVs with high propensity for LRTID such as influenza A or B, RSV, HMPV, or HPIV	BIII
CARV=community-acquired respiratory virus. ESCMID=European Society for Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. HAdV=human adenovirus. HBoV=human bocavirus. HCT=haematopoietic cell transplantation. HCoV=human coronavirus. HMPV=human metapneumovirus. HPIV=human parainfluenzavirus. HRhV=human rhinovirus (including enteroviruses or picornaviruses). LRTID=lower respiratory tract infectious disease. NAT=nucleic acid testing. RSV=respiratory syncytial virus. RTID=respiratory tract infectious disease. URTID=upper respiratory tract infectious disease. *Recommendations graded according to the ESCMID grading system (appendix p 1). †Further considerations on diagnosis are provided in the appendix (pp 2, 5). ‡Further guidance on deferral is provided in the appendix (p 6).	
Table 2: Diagnostic and deferral recommendations for patients with haematological malignancies and those undergoing HCT presenting with CARV-RTID	

burden. Although no general recommendations can be given, when planning therapies that substantially impair immune functions, CARV testing of asymptomatic patients with haematological malignancies and patients undergoing HCT is considered in some institutions at times of increased epidemiological risk.

URTID (eg, runny nose, coryza, postnasal drip, coughing, and nasal and sinus congestion) in patients with

haematological malignancies and patients undergoing HCT often presents as a continuum to LRTID (eg, tracheitis, bronchitis, and pneumonia; appendix p 5). Early in the clinical course of RTID, most cases will be managed as probable CARV-attributable pneumonia when CARVs are detected in upper respiratory samples from patients who have clinical symptoms and signs and hypoxaemia, diagnostic imaging showing new or progressive abnormalities, or a combination of these factors (appendix p 5). Clinical worsening and severe cases of RTID require broadening of the differential diagnosis for coexisting pathologies, especially in the context of multimorbidity in patients with haematological malignancies and patients undergoing HCT. Chest CT scans have a higher predictive value for ruling in and ruling out CARV-attributable pneumonia compared with conventional chest x-rays. Chest CT scans also allow health-care providers to evaluate the clinical course and guide bronchoscopy, bronchoalveolar lavage, or biopsy acquisition.⁴⁴ However, detecting particular CARVs (such as HRhV, HCoV, HAdV, and HBoV) in nasopharyngeal swabs might not be sufficient for a probable diagnosis of CARV-attributable pneumonia because different CARVs might be present in the lower respiratory tract.^{45,46} The detection of CARVs in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid supports the diagnosis of laboratory-confirmed CARV-attributable pneumonia. Bronchoscopy bears some risk of detecting contaminating CARVs from the upper respiratory tract by sensitive NAT of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. Although no generalised guidance can be given, some experts request CARV loads that are 10–100-times higher in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid than in matched nasopharyngeal swabs for a diagnosis of CARV-LRTID.^{45,46} Showing CARV pathology in lung tissue is the ultimate proof, but is rarely done early in the clinical course for patients with haematological malignancies and those undergoing HCT, due to the invasiveness and associated risk of complications for transbronchial forceps biopsy, cryobiopsy, open lung biopsy, or video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery.⁴⁷ However, improved transbronchial cryobiopsy techniques might provide higher diagnostic yields than forceps procedures at similarly low complication rates for lesions presenting as interstitial lung disease.^{48,49} Besides chronic lung allograft disease, bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome, or pulmonary GVHD, the differential diagnosis in patients with haematological malignancies and those undergoing HCT includes leukaemic or infectious infiltrates.^{50,51} In a meta-analysis of 95 studies published between 1980 and 2014, an infectious diagnosis was made more frequently by bronchoalveolar lavage, whereas a non-infectious diagnosis was made more frequently by lung biopsy and more likely to change management.⁴⁷ Unlike for bacterial, fungal, and some viral causes such as adenoviruses or herpes viruses, CARV-specific diagnostic investigations of lung biopsies are currently limited by the lack of standardised tools, and therefore depend on local expertise for CARV-specific immunohistochemistry or on novel molecular and

metagenomic approaches. In many studies, laboratory-confirmed and biopsy-proven CARV-LRTIDs are combined as proven CARV-pneumonia.

When does clinical management change for CARV-positive patients?

Deferral or modification of the clinical management of patients with haematological malignancies and patients undergoing HCT with laboratory-confirmed CARVs

should only be attempted if delaying treatment for the underlying disease is justifiable (table 2). Patient characteristics such as frailty and comorbidities, the choice of treatment (specifically immunosuppressive or myelosuppressive drugs), and the specific CARV detected and its treatment options are key factors for determining the CARV-RTID prognosis (appendix p 6).^{6,43,52} Increasingly, lymphoid malignancies are treated with small molecule drugs; CAR T cells; and depleting

	ESCMID grade*
General aspects	
Live-attenuated influenza virus vaccines should not be used in patients who are immunocompromised	DII
Patients undergoing allogeneic HCT	
Annual seasonal IIV is recommended at the beginning of influenza season for all patients at 6 months post-transplant	All
Annual seasonal IIV should be considered at the beginning of the influenza season for all patients at 3 months post-transplant, despite a likely lower efficacy than when given at 6 months	Allu
Vaccination should be repeated annually	Allt
Patients undergoing autologous HCT	
Annual seasonal IIV administration is recommended at the beginning of the influenza season for all patients at 3 months post-transplant	Alltu
Vaccination should be repeated annually	Allt
Patients with haematological malignancies	
Annual seasonal IIV administration is recommended at the beginning of the influenza season to all patients	Allt
Vaccination should be repeated annually	Allt
Patients treated with B-cell-targeting therapies by depleting antibodies, CART cells, bispecific antibodies, or small molecule inhibitors	
Data are currently insufficient, but since vaccine responses are expected to be low or absent for the time of treatment and several months thereafter, seasonal IIV could be considered, as defined by national regulations, or by a local protocol that adapts the recommendations for patients undergoing allogeneic HCT with respect to dose, timing, and repetition	CIII
Adult patients	
High-dose trivalent IIV targeting influenza virus A and B is recommended for patients undergoing allogeneic HCT	All
There are data supporting an increased immunogenicity of high-dose non-adjuvanted IIV	Statement
There are insufficient data supporting an increased clinical efficacy of adjuvanted over non-adjuvanted IIV	Statement
Paediatric patients	
IIV should be given to paediatric allogeneic and autologous HCT recipients as early as 3 months post-transplant	All
Annual high-dose trivalent IIV is recommended for paediatric patients undergoing allogeneic HCT	BII
A second standard-dose of trivalent IIV 4 weeks after the first should be considered for patients undergoing HCT who were vaccinated as early as 3 months post-transplant or who are at risk for low or absent vaccine responses	BIIt
For children aged 6 months to 3 years receiving standard-dose influenza vaccination for the first time after transplant, a second standard dose can be recommended at 4 weeks after the first dose	BII
For children older than 3 years, a second high-dose trivalent IIV can be recommended during a prolonged outbreak	BII
Recommendation updates need to be harmonised with national regulations defining specific IIVs and age ranges for paediatric administration	Statement
Health-care providers and close contacts	
Health-care providers in contact with patients who are immunocompromised should receive IIV annually	Allt
Individuals in close contact with, or household members of, HCT recipients should receive IIV at the beginning of the season before transplant and during the first season after transplant	Allt
Individuals in close contact with, or household members of, HCT recipients should receive IIV annually, for as long as the patient is judged to be immunocompromised	BIII
The live-attenuated influenza virus vaccine should not be used for individuals in close contact with, or household members of, HCT recipients in the first 12 months post-transplant, patients treated for graft-versus-host-disease, or patients with haematological malignancies on active chemotherapy	DII
If live-attenuated influenza virus vaccines were inadvertently used in contacts, masking and contact precautions should be applied for 1 week in the case of asymptomatic individuals or for as long as the contact person is symptomatic	CIII
CAR=chimeric antigen receptor. CARV=community-acquired respiratory virus. ESCMID=European Society for Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. HCT=haematopoietic cell transplantation. IIV=inactivated influenza vaccine. RTID=respiratory tract infectious disease. *Recommendations graded according to the ESCMID grading system (appendix p 1).	

Table 3: Vaccination recommendations against influenza A and B

monoclonal or bispecific T-cell-engaging antibodies targeting CD19, CD20, CD22, B-cell maturation antigen, G-protein-coupled receptor class C group 5 member D, or Fc-receptor homolog-5.⁴⁰ These treatments can induce long-lasting B-cell dysfunction, depletion, hypogammaglobulinaemia, and even T-cell dysfunction.⁵³ Most centres consider delaying such therapies in CARV-positive patients, even those with asymptomatic upper respiratory tract infections. Of note, these recommendations are not based on randomised trials.

What is recommended for influenza virus?

Annual active immunisation against influenza virus A and B with a seasonal inactivated influenza vaccine (IIV) is recommended for all patients with haematological malignancies and patients undergoing HCT (table 3).⁵⁴ Live-attenuated influenza virus vaccine should not be used for these patients and their close contacts, as viral shedding can increase the risk of transmission.^{55,56} If live-attenuated virus vaccines were inadvertently used in contact persons, mask-wearing and contact precautions should be applied for at least 1 week, although there is an absence of definitive data supporting this recommendation.

For adult patients undergoing allogeneic HCT, active immunisation is strongly recommended at the beginning of the influenza season for those who are at least 6 months post-transplant. However, due to the increasing risk of transmission and severe disease, vaccination can be recommended as early as 3 months post-transplant.⁵⁷ Current data do not indicate an increased clinical efficacy of adjuvanted over non-adjuvanted IIV in adult patients undergoing allogeneic HCT.⁵⁸ Data do indicate that two doses of high-dose trivalent IIV is preferable over two standard doses because of the better immunogenicity of high-dose IIV in people who are immunocompromised.^{59,60} As immune protection is more likely to be compromised following early seasonal vaccination, during prolonged or late influenza virus A or B circulation, or if the patient is receiving immunosuppression as prophylaxis or therapy (eg, for GVHD), we recommend a second administration of high-dose trivalent IIV in these scenarios.

For adult autologous HCT, one dose of the annual seasonal IIV is recommended at the beginning of the influenza season in all patients, starting at 3 months post-transplantation. For adult patients with haematological malignancies, one dose of the annual IIV at the beginning of the influenza season is recommended.

For paediatric patients undergoing allogeneic and autologous HCT, IIVs are recommended as early as 3 months post-transplant (table 3). Allogeneic HCT recipients aged between 6 months and 3 years who receive influenza vaccination for the first time after their transplant can be given a second standard dose of trivalent IIV 4 weeks after the first dose, based on recent studies.^{59,61} However, these updated paediatric recommendations need to be harmonised with national

regulations, which might define different specific IIVs and age ranges for paediatric administration. One dose of high-dose trivalent IIV post-HCT might be sufficient after a two-dose schedule in the previous year,⁶² but a second dose could be considered for patients at risk of low or absent vaccine responses, or in case of long-lasting influenza virus A or B circulation.

For patients treated with B-cell targeting drugs,^{53,63} monoclonal or bispecific antibodies,⁶⁴ or CAR T cells,^{40,65–67} vaccine responses are presumably impaired or absent during treatment administration and several months thereafter. Accordingly, seasonal vaccination with IIV targeting influenza virus A or B can be considered as defined by national regulations (or, if national regulations are unavailable, by a local protocol adapting the dose and timing) and repeated as recommended for patients undergoing allogeneic HCT. Health-care providers and all individuals in close contact with immunocompromised patients should receive IIV annually at the beginning of the influenza season.⁶⁸

Antiviral drugs are available to prevent or treat RTID due to influenza virus A or B viruses in patients with haematological malignancies and those undergoing HCT (table 4). General seasonal antiviral prophylaxis is discouraged. Post-exposure prophylaxis (within 48 h) with oseltamivir (75 mg given twice per day) is recommended for a duration of 7–10 days for all severely immunocompromised patients with haematological malignancies and patients undergoing HCT regardless of vaccination history⁶⁹ (appendix p 7). The rationale for therapeutic dosing in this setting is the high likelihood of treating early infection in immunologically compromised patients at high risk.^{70,71} During a nosocomial influenza outbreak, 75 mg oseltamivir once per day could be considered as prophylaxis for non-exposed patients who are severely immunocompromised and on the same wards.⁷² HCT recipients and patients with haematological malignancies with laboratory-confirmed influenza virus A or B should be treated with antivirals as soon as possible (preferably within 24–48 h after symptom onset)⁷³ for 5–10 days or until clinically significant improvement (table 4). If rapid virological testing is not available, patients undergoing HCT and patients with haematological malignancies with compatible signs and symptoms during the influenza season should be treated promptly while awaiting laboratory confirmation. The recommended adult dose of oseltamivir is 75 mg twice per day. For children, oseltamivir is administered according to bodyweight (appendix p 7).

Although baloxavir marboxil is now recommended by WHO as a first-line antiviral,^{74,75} few data are available for patients with haematological malignancies or those undergoing HCT.⁷⁶ Given its novel mechanism of action, baloxavir marboxil has been used for treating neuraminidase inhibitor-resistant influenza.⁷⁷ One dose of baloxavir marboxil is administered within 48 h of symptom onset according to bodyweight (appendix p 8). Baloxavir

marboxil has been studied in children older than 1 year.⁷⁸ Patients can receive multiple doses every 72 h, as clinically indicated.⁷⁹ However, drug resistance mutations have been observed in the polymerase acidic protein and might emerge more rapidly in children and immunocompromised people.⁸⁰ Zanamivir inhalation is available for out-patient treatment of uncomplicated influenza,^{81,82} but data are scarce for first-line treatment of patients with haematological malignancies or patients undergoing HCT.

For adult patients at risk for or presenting with severe or persistent influenza, some experts recommend a double-dose of oseltamivir at 150 mg twice per day,⁷¹ even though late initiation (beyond 48 h after symptom onset) might not change the clinical outcome.^{73,83} Clinical data suggest oseltamivir should not be combined with zanamivir, but combining neuraminidase inhibitors with either baloxovir marboxil or ribavirin could be considered. Adamantanes are obsolete because of rapid resistance emergence and near universal resistance among currently circulating influenza A and B.¹ When gastrointestinal absorption is impaired, intravenous zanamivir or peramivir (if available, as not licensed in Europe) could be considered (appendix p 9). Patients with LRTID due to influenza A or B who do not improve despite adequate treatment with neuraminidase inhibitors for at least 5 days should be re-evaluated for other causes such as bacterial or fungal co-infections, GVHD, or interstitial lung disease. If influenza A or B persist (at high amounts, if quantitative NAT is available) in patients not responding despite adequate therapy for 10 days, genotypic resistance testing could be considered and antiviral treatment adapted as suggested (table 4).

What is recommended for RSV?

Active immunisation against RSV A or RSV B is currently licensed for adults older than 60 years and those at risk for severe LRTID caused by RSV (RSV-LRTID).^{84–86} Patients with haematological malignancies and those undergoing HCT are at considerable risk,⁸⁷ but were not included in the licensing trials. Although no recommendations on RSV vaccination can be made presently (appendix p 10), vaccine effectiveness is expected to be reduced by immunosuppression, chemotherapy, or recent HCT. For the available RSV vaccines,^{84–86} a single dose provided 70–80% efficacy during the first season after RSV vaccination and the second RSV season in non-immunosuppressed older individuals.⁸⁸ However, efficacy and safety in patient populations with haematological malignancies or undergoing HCT have not been defined. Contraindications include a history of severe allergic reactions to any component of the respective vaccines. There is a recent warning about Guillain-Barré syndrome for the protein vaccines Abrysvo (RSVpreF; Pfizer) and Arexvy (RSVPreF3OA; GSK), but rates of the syndrome among immunocompromised populations are unknown (appendix p 10). To obtain clinical and immunological

	ESCMID grade*
Antiviral prophylaxis	
Routine antiviral pre-exposure prophylaxis of patients who are immunocompromised during the influenza season is discouraged	DIII
Post-exposure prophylaxis (within 48 h) with oseltamivir (75 mg given twice daily for 7–10 days) is recommended for all patients with haematological malignancies and those undergoing HCT regardless of vaccination history	Allt
Pre-exposure prophylaxis with oseltamivir for patients who are severely immunocompromised (regardless of vaccination status) can be considered (eg, during a suspected nosocomial outbreak for at least 7 days in prophylactic dosing if NAT is negative, or in therapeutic dosing, if NAT is positive)	BIII
Antiviral therapy	
Laboratory-confirmed influenza RTID in allogeneic and autologous HCT recipients, or patients with haematological malignancies who test positive during chemotherapy and in the following 6 months, should be treated with antivirals as soon as possible, preferably less than 48 h after symptom onset	All
If rapid NAT or direct antigen testing is not available, patients undergoing HCT and with haematological malignancies with compatible symptoms or signs and an epidemiological risk (eg, during influenza season) should be treated promptly while awaiting laboratory confirmation	BIII
The first-line antiviral is oseltamivir	BII
The recommended adult dose of oseltamivir is 75 mg twice per day until clinically significant improvement, usually within 5–10 days (appendix p 7)	BII
The first-line alternative antiviral is baloxavir marboxil	BIIt
The recommended adult dose of baloxavir marboxil is calculated according to bodyweight (appendix p 8)	BIIt
If longer treatment is clinically indicated, administration of baloxavir marboxil every 72 h could be considered	CII
Zanamivir inhalation is available for outpatient treatment of uncomplicated influenza, but data are insufficient for first-line treatment of patients with haematological malignancies or those undergoing HCT	Statement
Management of severe or prolonged cases of influenza-related RTID	
For patients with severe influenza and suspicion of impaired gastrointestinal absorption, intravenous zanamivir or peramivir (if available) could be considered (appendix p 9)	CIII
Patients with influenza A or B pneumonia who do not clinically improve or worsen despite adequate treatment with neuraminidase inhibitors for at least 5 days should be re-evaluated (with microbiological testing of samples from the lower respiratory tract) for complications including co-infections	BIII
For patients with continuing symptoms after 5–7 days, a role of influenza A or B replication could be evaluated by repeating NAT of clinically relevant respiratory specimens as rationale for continued oseltamivir treatment until undetectable	CIII
In patients continuing to test positive for influenza A or B after 5–7 days, antiviral treatment could be extended for at least 10 days	BIII
In patients with severe or prolonged influenza A or B replication, combining neuraminidase inhibitors with baloxavir could be considered	BIII
When influenza A or B replication persists for 10 days (at high levels, if quantitative NAT is available) despite adequate therapy, genotypic resistance testing could be considered	CIII
For patients who are severely immunocompromised presenting with severe RTID, some experts consider a double dose of oseltamivir (150 mg twice per day)	CIII
ESCMID=European Society for Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. HCT=haematopoietic cell transplantation. NAT=nucleic acid testing. RTID=respiratory tract infectious disease. *Recommendations graded according to the ESCMID grading system (appendix p 1).	

Table 4: Recommendations on antiviral prophylaxis and therapy for influenza A and B

efficacy data, both adult and paediatric patients undergoing HCT and patients with haematological malignancies should be included in prospective clinical trials. Since such results are unlikely to be available for immunocompromised people within the next 2 years, some experts and national authorities support RSV vaccination for patients

undergoing HCT and those with haematological malignancies in accordance with local approval.

Passive immunisation to prevent RSV infection is recommended for children younger than 2 years (table 5). Currently, two monoclonal antibodies are commercially available, palivizumab (short-acting) and nirsevimab (long-acting). Immunocompromised children younger than 2 years (including those with haematological malignancies or undergoing HCT) might benefit from pre-exposure prophylaxis with palivizumab or nirsevimab for the RSV season. However, use of palivizumab as primary, pre-exposure, or post-exposure prophylaxis is discouraged for children older than 2 years, and for adults. Nevertheless, some experts consider palivizumab as post-exposure prophylaxis for severely immunosuppressed, hospitalised patients during a nosocomial outbreak on the same ward. Because it targets the pre-fusion conformation of the fusion glycoprotein F0 protein and has a longer half-life, most experts expect nirsevimab to be more efficacious than palivizumab. No recommendations can be made regarding nirsevimab or other agents in development (eg, motavizumab, motavizumab-YTE, suptavumab, clesrovimab) in adult patients with haematological malignancies or those undergoing HCT due to the absence of detailed data on dose, timing, efficacy, or adverse events in these groups.^{91,92}

Antiviral drugs with potential clinical activity against RSV replication are in different stages of development (eg, LN-RSV01, RSV604, presatovir, MDT-637, lumicitabine, IFN- α 1b, rilematovir, enzaplatovir, AK0529, sisunatovir, PC786, and EDP-93) but are currently not available for clinical use.^{91,92} Ribavirin has become part of selective management recommendations in adult patients undergoing allogeneic HCT based on uncontrolled observational data (table 5). However, ribavirin should not be used as RSV pre-exposure or post-exposure prophylaxis in adults or in children. For RSV-positive adult allogeneic HCT recipients with a diagnosis of RSV-LRTID (or high risk of progression), treatment with ribavirin should be considered (table 5).^{10,28,93,94} Oral or intravenous ribavirin is currently preferred in many institutions.^{11,95} Despite the absence of controlled data, prompt initiation of oral ribavirin is viewed by some clinicians as a key to efficacy, preferentially at an early stage of RSV-URTID in allogeneic HCT recipients at high risk for progression to LRTID. Conversely, ribavirin treatment is not supported for allogeneic HCT recipients at low risk for progression to LRTID. Risk factors for progression and poor outcome can be estimated by the MD Anderson Immunodeficiency Score Index^{25,26} or the Basel Severe Immunodeficiency grading^{3,10,28} (appendix p 11). Immunodeficiency scores have been validated for several other CARVs in allogeneic HCT recipients.^{54,96–98} Data validating immunodeficiency scores, efficacy, or dosing of systemic ribavirin in the paediatric setting are insufficient. Nevertheless, some experts suggest using systemic ribavirin together with intravenous immunoglobulin or palivizumab, at similar

per weight dosing as for adults.^{99,100} Ribavirin is administered orally at 10–30 mg/kg bodyweight in three divided doses up to a maximum dose of 600 mg every 8 h, corresponding to a total dose of 1800 mg/day (table 5). Higher loading doses and more rapid dose escalation have been suggested to improve efficacy.^{93,101} Oral and intravenous ribavirin are dosed alike. Patients should be monitored and treated for adverse events as outlined (table 5). In case of declining renal function, the dosage of systemic ribavirin can be reduced to 200 mg every 8 h for creatinine clearance of 30–50 mL/min, but no recommendation can be made for clearance of less than 30 mL/min. Ribavirin use has been questioned because of poor antiviral efficacy and treatment limitations caused by haemolysis, abnormal liver function, renal failure, drug–drug interactions, and teratogenicity in rodent models. Teratogenicity is particularly relevant for aerosolised ribavirin, thus safe handling precautions for health-care providers and contacts are required. The cost of aerosolised ribavirin has substantially increased since 2015. This, together with poor tolerability and safety concerns, has decreased its clinical use.

Intravenous immunoglobulin preparations can be used alone or in combination with oral ribavirin for allogeneic HCT recipients with RSV-LRTID or who are at high risk of developing it.^{10,28,93,102,103} At least three doses of intravenous immunoglobulin should be administered at 0.5 g/kg bodyweight within 1–2 weeks of diagnosis, particularly if hypogammaglobulinaemia (<4.5 g/L) is present (table 5). Corticosteroid treatment of more than 1 mg/kg per day at RSV diagnosis has been associated with disease progression and death and should be evaluated for dose reduction, if possible. For RSV-positive autologous HCT recipients or patients with haematological malignancies with a diagnosis of RSV-LRTID (or at high risk for progression), treatment with ribavirin might be considered as outlined for allogeneic HCT recipients (table 5). For cases where hypogammaglobulinaemia is less than 4.5 g/L, adjunct treatment with at least three doses of intravenous immunoglobulin at 0.5 g/kg bodyweight within 1–2 weeks of diagnosis could be considered.

What is recommended for HMPV?

Active or passive immunisation is not available, and specific antiviral drugs for prophylaxis or treatment are lacking, for HMPV. Current management of adult patients positive for HMPV who are undergoing allogeneic HCT consists of supportive therapy, correction of hypogammaglobulinaemia of less than 4.5 g/L, and judicious evaluation of lowering corticosteroid treatment (appendix p 12). Given the virological relatedness to RSV,¹ some experts administer oral ribavirin alone or in combination with intravenous immunoglobulin to patients with high immunodeficiency scores (appendix p 11).^{10,104,105} For adult patients with haematological malignancies or undergoing autologous HCT, with a diagnosis of HMPV-LRTID (or high risk for progression

	ESCMID grade*
Recommendations on passive immunisation for RSV	
For children	
Seasonal pre-exposure prophylaxis with palivizumab for children <2 years who have undergone HCT can be considered	BIII
Post-exposure prophylaxis with palivizumab ⁸⁹ could be considered for immunocompromised children <2 years when a nosocomial outbreak is occurring	BIII
RSV seasonal pre-exposure prophylaxis with nirsevimab can be considered for severely immunocompromised children <2 years	BIIIut
There are insufficient data to recommend post-exposure prophylaxis with nirsevimab ⁹⁰ for children	CIII
There are insufficient data to recommend treatment with nirsevimab for children with URTID or LRTID caused by RSV	CIII
For adults	
In the absence of data evaluating the efficacy or risk-benefit ratio, palivizumab should not be used for RSV pre-exposure or post-exposure prophylaxis or treatment of adult patients with haematological malignancies or patients undergoing HCT	DII
Palivizumab is considered by some experts as post-exposure prophylaxis for severely immunodeficient adults (eg, allogeneic HCT recipients before engraftment) when a nosocomial outbreak is occurring on the same ward	CIII
There are currently insufficient data for adult patients with haematological malignancies or patients undergoing HCT to recommend nirsevimab for seasonal or post-exposure prophylaxis or for treatment	Statement
Recommendations on use of antivirals for RSV	
Antiviral prophylaxis for RSV	
Due to insufficient data, ribavirin should not be used as pre-exposure or post exposure prophylaxis in adults or in children	DII
Systemic ribavirin for RSV in allogeneic HCT recipients	
Recipients with high risk for progression to, or diagnosed with, RSV-LRTID should be considered for treatment with ribavirin	BIIIu
For guidance on defining high risk for poor outcome, the MD Anderson Immunodeficiency Score Index or the Basel Severe Immunodeficiency grading† can be considered	BIIIu
In recipients at low risk for progression to RSV-LRTID, ribavirin treatment can be withheld	BIII
Systemic ribavirin can be administered orally at 10–30 mg/kg of bodyweight in three divided doses (max 600 mg/8 h, up to a maximum dose of 1800 mg/day)	BIIIu
Patients receiving systemic ribavirin should be monitored and managed for adverse events (eg, haemolysis, abnormal liver function tests, drug-drug interactions, or declining renal function)	BIIt
There are insufficient data describing the immunodeficiency scores, efficacy, or dosing of systemic ribavirin in the paediatric setting, but some experts suggest a similar approach and per-weight dosing as for adults	Statement
Aerosolised ribavirin for RSV	
Although currently rarely used because of high costs and demanding logistics, 2 g aerosolised ribavirin can be administered for 2 h every 8 h for 7–10 days to treat RSV	BII
Aerosolised ribavirin therapy should be accompanied by measures avoiding environmental exposure, and thereby potentially teratogenic effects, in pregnant health-care providers and visitors	AII
Patients on aerosolised ribavirin should be monitored and treated for adverse events including claustrophobia, bronchospasm, nausea, conjunctivitis, and declining pulmonary function	BIIt
Other management considerations for RSV in patients undergoing allogeneic HCT	
For patients at high risk for progression to, or who have been diagnosed with, RSV-LRTID, adjunct treatment could be considered with at least three doses of intravenous immunoglobulin at 0.5 g/kg bodyweight within 1–2 weeks of diagnosis	BIII
For patients testing positive for RSV and hypogammaglobulinaemia (<4.5 g/L), treatment with at least three doses of intravenous immunoglobulin at 0.5 g/kg bodyweight administered within 1–2 weeks of diagnosis could be considered	BIII
Use of corticosteroids at more than 1 mg/kg per day at diagnosis of RSV-LRTID has been associated with disease progression and mortality; thus, reducing corticosteroid administration to less than 1 mg/kg of bodyweight can be considered if feasible	CIII
Management considerations for RSV in patients undergoing autologous HCT or in patients with haematological malignancies	
Treatment of patients with a diagnosis of RSV-LRTID with ribavirin should be considered	BIII
Systemic or aerosolised ribavirin administration and monitoring should follow the recommendations outlined for allogeneic HCT recipients	BIII
For patients with RSV-LRTID (or at high risk for progression to RSV-LRTID) and hypogammaglobulinaemia (<4.5 g/L), adjunct treatment with at least three doses of intravenous immunoglobulin at 0.5 g/kg of bodyweight administered within 1–2 weeks of diagnosis can be considered	CIII
ESCMID=European Society for Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. HCT=haematopoietic cell transplantation. LRTID=lower respiratory tract infectious disease. RSV=respiratory syncytial virus. RTID=respiratory tract infectious disease. URTID=upper respiratory tract infectious disease. Considerations on active immunisation for RSV are provided in the appendix (p 10). *Recommendations graded according to the ESCMID grading system (appendix p 1). †Immunodeficiency according to clinical and laboratory parameters is provided in the appendix (p 11).	

Table 5: RSV prevention and treatment recommendations

to LRTID), treatment with ribavirin remains controversial (appendix p 12). In the case of hypogammaglobulinaemia of less than 4.5 g/L, adjunct treatment with at least three doses of intravenous immunoglobulin at 0.5 g/kg bodyweight within 1–2 weeks of diagnosis could be considered.

What is recommended for HPIV?

Active or passive immunisation is not available for HPIV, and specific antiviral drugs for prophylaxis or treatment are unavailable. Current management of adult and paediatric patients with haematological malignancies or undergoing HCT who are HPIV-positive relies on supportive therapy, correction of hypogammaglobulinaemia of less than 4.5 g/L, and judicious evaluation of lowering corticosteroid treatment (appendix p 13). Prolonged asymptomatic shedding and nosocomial outbreaks are well documented for HPIV, emphasising the role of infection control measures. More severe manifestations have been observed in allogeneic HCT recipients with HPIV-3 infection, lymphopenia, and higher corticosteroid use (eg, >30 mg/day, often >1 mg/kg bodyweight).^{19,23,42,44,97} Effective antivirals and neutralising antibodies are still under development,¹ and use of ribavirin is controversial.²³ Although available data are too limited for a general recommendation, some centres consider intravenous immunoglobulin, sometimes in combination with ribavirin, for HPIV-positive adult recipients of allogeneic HCT with high immunodeficiency scores, as outlined for adult patients positive for RSV undergoing allogeneic HCT (table 5).^{10,95,97,101}

What is recommended for HCoVs (other than SARS-CoV-2)?

HCoVs consist of four species (229E, NL63, OC43, and HKU1)^{21,106,107} in addition to SARS-CoV-2. Unlike for SARS-CoV-2, active or passive immunisation is not available for HCoVs. There is no documented clinical efficacy of antivirals for pre-exposure or post-exposure prophylaxis, or for treatment with ribavirin or with antivirals licensed for SARS-CoV-2 (appendix p 14). Although available data are

too scarce to support use or specific doses, administration of intravenous immunoglobulin (eg, at least three doses of 0.5 g/kg bodyweight within 1–2 weeks of diagnosis) could be considered for patients undergoing HCT or with haematological malignancies presenting with LRTID attributed to HCoV and hypogammaglobulinaemia of less than 4.5 g/L. Observational studies from the pre-pandemic era provide no support for stringent deferral of chemotherapy or conditioning for patients positive for HCoV with haematological malignancies or undergoing HCT (appendix p 6).

What is recommended for HRhV?

Active or passive immunisation is not available, and specific antiviral drugs for prophylaxis or treatment are in clinical development but not available for HRhVs. There are no data supporting the use of ribavirin for HRhVs (appendix p 15). The current management approach is supportive, and may involve administration of at least three doses of intravenous immunoglobulin (eg, 0.5 g/kg bodyweight within 1–2 weeks of diagnosis) for LRTID caused by HRhV (HRhV-LRTID) in patients undergoing HCT or with haematological malignancies having hypogammaglobulinaemia of less than 4.5 g/L. High-dose corticosteroids of more than 2 mg/kg bodyweight have been identified as risk factors for progression to HRhV-LRTID,²² thus judicious dose reduction should be considered.^{19,22,106–108}

What is recommended for HAdV?

Active or passive immunisation is not available for HAdVs. Approaches have been developed for specific HAdV types to counter institutional outbreaks in military and other facilities, but there are no data for vaccinating patients undergoing HCT or patients with haematological malignancies.¹ There is also no documented clinical efficacy with sufficient specificity and an acceptable adverse event profile for pre-exposure or post-exposure prophylaxis with antivirals such as cidofovir or brincidofovir. For patients undergoing HCT and those with haematological malignancies with URTID caused by HAdV, improving HAdV-specific immune responses (eg, by reducing immunosuppression) should be considered (appendix p 16). Because HAdV might disseminate to multiple organs with subsequent poor outcomes, blood HAdV loads should be determined in patients at risk for dissemination. Although HAdV-load thresholds have not been standardised across assays and analytes (eg, plasma or whole blood), treatment with intravenous cidofovir should be considered if HAdV loads in blood rise above 1000 copies per mL in symptomatic patients at high risk.^{109–111} The role of intravenous immunoglobulin for management is unclear and solely based on case reports, but could be considered for patients with hypogammaglobulinaemia of less than 4.5 g/mL. No recommendations can be made for ribavirin, ganciclovir, or combinations with intravenous immunoglobulin.^{112–114}

Search strategy and selection criteria

References for this Review were identified through searches of PubMed and Google Scholar for articles published in English between Jan 1, 2014, and June 30, 2024, with the terms “respiratory”, “virus”, “haematopoietic cell transplantation”, or “haematological malignancy”. Specific searches were done for “adenovirus”, “bocavirus”, “coronavirus”, “influenzavirus”, “metapneumovirus”, “parainfluenzavirus”, “respiratory syncytial virus”, and “rhinovirus”. Articles resulting from these searches and relevant references cited in those articles were reviewed. Selective updates were done during manuscript revision to identify relevant publications in English published up to March 30, 2025.

Similarly, intravenous brincidofovir is awaiting clinical development. HAdV-specific T cells are of great interest and have shown promising results in phase 2 trials. However, no recommendations can be made other than trying to enrol patients with HAdV-RTID in clinical trials.

What is recommended for HBoV and human polyomavirus 3 and 4?

HBoVs are exceptional causes of RTID in adults,¹¹⁵ although clinical studies on RTID caused by HBoV are scarce. Similarly, the clinical relevance of human polyomavirus 3 (known as Karolinska Institute polyomavirus [KIPyV]) and human polyomavirus 4 (known as Washington University polyomavirus [WUPyV]) is unclear for adult patients undergoing HCT and patients with haematological malignancies.¹ As KIPyV and WUPyV are not present in current multiplex NAT assays, dedicated studies are needed to define their clinical relevance.

Outlook and research agenda

Overall, the available evidence and strength of recommendations are low for patients undergoing allogeneic HCT and even lower or not available for patients undergoing autologous HCT, patients with haematological malignancies, or patients undergoing other immunological therapies. Randomised controlled trials will need to be designed against the current standard of care for patients undergoing HCT and patients with haematological malignancies. Primary endpoints, feasibility, sample sizes, costs, and safety will be major concerns in such studies.⁹ For CARV-specific antivirals, targeting specific patient populations early in the clinical course and preferably promptly at the stage of URTID, should be considered, based on post-hoc analyses from the presatovir trials.²⁹ Clinical immunodeficiency scores predicting the risk of progression to LRTID, hospitalisation, and death should be further refined and considered for patient stratification in prospective clinical trials. Validating CARV loads for clinical management during clinical follow-up and novel diagnostic technologies might emerge as relevant cornerstones in the future. The evaluation of active and passive immunisation for RSV, and of CARV-specific antibodies and T cells, represent considerable research opportunities. Thus, enrolling patients undergoing HCT and those with haematological malignancies in clinical trials is an important opportunity to improve medical care for CARVs in this highly vulnerable patient population.

Contributors

This Review is based on the European Conference on Infections in Leukaemia (ECIL) Guidelines Working Group's updating of recommendations on community-acquired respiratory virus infections in patients with haematological malignancies or haematopoietic cell transplantation during the tenth meeting (ECIL-10) held between Sept 19 and 21, 2024, in Sophia Antipolis, France. The working group was chaired by HHH (project administration and conceptualisation). All authors participated equally in identifying and reviewing the literature, grading the quality of the evidence, and grading the strength of the recommendations. MvL-T, RFC, and HHH wrote the first draft and

revised the prefinal version. All authors reviewed and edited each version of the manuscript. HHH finalised the manuscript.

Declaration of interests

FK has received research support paid to his institution from Merck, Eurofins Viracor, and Symbio. CR has received an honorarium for participation on an advisory board from Mundipharma and as a speaker from Gilead and MSD, and support for attending meetings from Pfizer, Mundipharma, Gilead, and Takeda. J-LP has received an honorarium for consulting from Merck Sharp & Dohme and speaker fees and travel support from Kite, and is currently the Vice President of the Spanish Hematopoietic Transplant and Cell Therapy Group. PL has received honoraria for consulting from AstraZeneca, GSK, and Moderna and speaker fees from Pfizer, and has served as Data Safety Monitoring Board Chair for Enanta and Octapharma, and Chair for the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation (EBMT) registry committee. DN has received an honorarium for lectures from Pfizer, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Roche, Abbott, bioMérieux, Gilead, and AstraZeneca, and support for attending meetings from Pfizer and Merck Sharp & Dohme. MB has received honoraria for consulting from AstraZeneca, Merck, Symbio Pharmaceuticals, and Moderna, and research support paid to the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center (USA), from Ansun Biopharma, Pulmotect, AstraZeneca, Merck, GSK, and Moderna. RFC has received personal fees as a consultant and advisor for ADMA Biologics, Merck/MSD, Takeda, Shionogi, Gilead, AiCuris, Astellas, Tether, Oxford Immunotec, Karius, Moderna, InflaRx, Pfizer, Invivyd, Biotest, Assembly Bio, IntegerBio, Eurofins Viracor, Symbio, and Ansun Biopharma. He also received research grants paid to his institution from Merck, Karius, AiCuris, Ansun Biopharma, Takeda, Genentech, OMI, Symbio, and Eurofins Viracor; speaker fees from Merck/MSD, Eurofins Viracor, and Takeda; and support to attend meetings from Merck/MSD, Takeda, Gilead, Shionogi, Karius, Moderna, Invivyd, InflaRx, and Biotest. He has stock options from Xenex. HHH has received honoraria as a speaker for Eurofins Viracor, Biotest, Gilead, Takeda, and VeraTx; for consulting from AiCuris, AlloVir, Anocca, AstraZeneca, Roche, Moderna, and VeraTx; and research support paid to the University of Basel (Switzerland) from Moderna. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

The ECIL is a society cofounded by the Infectious Diseases Working Party of the EBMT, the International Immunocompromised Host Society (ICHS), the European Leukemia Net (ELN), and the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer. In this Review, SC, HE, PL, and J-LP represent the EBMT; HE and PL represent the ELN; and RFC and HHH represent the ICHS. Further ICHS members are MB, FK, and PL. RFC and HHH participate on behalf of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) Study Group for Respiratory Viruses (ESGREV). Further ESGREV members are MB, FK, DN, and J-LP. The ECIL-10 meeting held from Sept 19 to 21, 2024, was supported by unrestricted grants from MSD, Pfizer, Takeda, Gilead, Basilea, F2G, Moderna, Mundipharma, Shionogi, OLM, AstraZeneca, and Scynexis. The ECIL-10 partners are listed online. None of these pharmaceutical companies had any role in selecting participants or working group experts, determining the scope and purpose of the guidelines, collecting, analysing, or interpreting the data, or in preparing the guidelines' editions. We also thank the staff of GL Events (Lyon, France) for organising the venue of the meeting. We also thank ECIL-10 participants: Manuela Aguilar Guisado (Spain), Murat Akova (Turkey), Sophie Alain (France), Mahmoud Aljurf (Saudi Arabia), Dina Averbuch (Israel), Francesco Baccelli (Italy), Ola Blennow (Sweden), Nicole Blijlevens (Netherlands), Alessandro Busca (Italy), Thierry Calandra (Switzerland), Catherine Cordonnier (France), Rafael De La Camara (Spain), Thushan de Silva (UK), Manuel Nuno Direito de Morais Guerreiro (Portugal), Federica Galaverna (Italy), Carolina Garcia Vidal (Spain), Lidia Gil (Poland), Andreas Groll (Germany), Raoul Herbrecht (France), Martin Hoenigl (Austria), Frederic Lamothe (Switzerland), Johan Maertens (Belgium), Varun Mehra (UK), Malgorzata Mikulska (Italy), Patricia Munoz (Spain), Anders Eivind Leren Myrhe (Norway), Marcio Nucci (Brasil), Chiara Oltolini (Italy), Livio Pagano (Italy), Agnieszka Piekarska (Poland), Elena Reigadas Ramirez (Spain), Alicja Sadowska-Klasa (Poland), Manuela Spadea (Italy), Ben Teh (Australia), Yuri Vanbiervliet (Belgium), P Lewis White (UK), and Alienor Xhaard (France).

For the conference partners see <https://www.ecil-leukaemia.com/en/partners>

References

- 1 Ison MG, Hirsch HH. Community-acquired respiratory viruses in transplant patients: diversity, impact, unmet clinical needs. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2019; **32**: e00042–19.
- 2 Kim D, Quinn J, Pinsky B, Shah NH, Brown I. Rates of co-infection between SARS-CoV-2 and other respiratory pathogens. *JAMA* 2020; **323**: 2085–86.
- 3 Vakil E, Sheshadri A, Faiz SA, et al. Risk factors for mortality after respiratory syncytial virus lower respiratory tract infection in adults with hematologic malignancies. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2018; **20**: e12994.
- 4 Mulrone CM, Abid MB, Bashey A, et al. Incidence and impact of community respiratory viral infections in post-transplant cyclophosphamide-based graft-versus-host disease prophylaxis and haploidentical stem cell transplantation. *Br J Haematol* 2021; **194**: 145–57.
- 5 Wilson Dib R, Ariza-Heredia E, Spallone A, Chemaly RF. Respiratory viral infections in recipients of cellular therapies: a review of incidence, outcomes, treatment, and prevention. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2023; **10**: ofad166.
- 6 Kim YJ, Waghmare A, Xie H, et al. Respiratory viruses in hematopoietic cell transplant candidates: impact of preexisting lower tract disease on outcomes. *Blood Adv* 2022; **6**: 5307–16.
- 7 Piñana JL, Tridello G, Xhaard A, et al. Upper and/or lower respiratory tract infection caused by human metapneumovirus after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *J Infect Dis* 2024; **229**: 83–94.
- 8 Tabatabai J, Schnitzler P, Prifert C, et al. Parainfluenza virus infections in patients with hematological malignancies or stem cell transplantation: analysis of clinical characteristics, nosocomial transmission and viral shedding. *PLoS One* 2022; **17**: e0271756.
- 9 Sassine J, Hirsch HH, Chemaly RF, the European Society for Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) Study Group for Respiratory Viruses (ESGREV). Clinical trials for treatment of respiratory viral infections in recipients of haematopoietic cell transplantation and cellular therapies: are we on the right path to the finish line? *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2024; **30**: 270–75.
- 10 Spahr Y, Tschudin-Sutter S, Baettig V, et al. Community-acquired respiratory paramyxovirus infection after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation: a single-center experience. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2018; **5**: ofy077.
- 11 Foolad F, Aitken SL, Shigle TL, et al. Oral versus aerosolized ribavirin for the treatment of respiratory syncytial virus infections in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019; **68**: 1641–49.
- 12 Kneid J, Vanichanan J, Shah DP, et al. Outcomes of influenza infections in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients: application of an immunodeficiency scoring index. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2016; **22**: 542–48.
- 13 Shah DP, Ghantaji SS, Ariza-Heredia EJ, et al. Immunodeficiency scoring index to predict poor outcomes in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients with RSV infections. *Blood* 2014; **123**: 3263–68.
- 14 Dumoulin A, Widmer AFX, Hirsch HH. Comprehensive diagnostics for respiratory virus infections after transplantation or after potential exposure to swine flu A/H1N1: what else is out there? *Transpl Infect Dis* 2009; **11**: 287–89.
- 15 Leuzinger K, Roloff T, Gosert R, et al. Epidemiology of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 emergence amidst community-acquired respiratory viruses. *J Infect Dis* 2020; **222**: 1270–79.
- 16 Passweg JR, Baldomero H, Chabannon C, et al. Impact of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic on hematopoietic cell transplantation and cellular therapies in Europe 2020: a report from the EBMT activity survey. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2022; **57**: 742–52.
- 17 Ryoo J, Kim SC, Lee J. Changes in respiratory infection trends during the COVID-19 pandemic in patients with hematologic malignancy. *BMC Pulm Med* 2024; **24**: 259.
- 18 Dähne T, Bauer W, Essig A, et al. Resurgence of common respiratory viruses in patients with community-acquired pneumonia (CAP)—a prospective multicenter study. *J Clin Virol* 2024; **173**: 105694.
- 19 Pérez A, Gómez D, Montoro J, et al. Are any specific respiratory viruses more severe than others in recipients of allogeneic stem cell transplantation? A focus on lower respiratory tract disease. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2024; **59**: 1118–26.
- 20 Rachow T, Lamik T, Kalkreuth J, et al. Detection of community-acquired respiratory viruses in allogeneic stem-cell transplant recipients and controls—a prospective cohort study. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2020; **22**: e13415.
- 21 Piñana JL, Madrid S, Pérez A, et al. Epidemiologic and clinical characteristics of coronavirus and bocavirus respiratory infections after allogeneic stem cell transplantation: a prospective single-center study. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2018; **24**: 563–70.
- 22 Waghmare A, Xie H, Kuypers J, et al. Human rhinovirus infections in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients: risk score for progression to lower respiratory tract infection. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2019; **25**: 1011–21.
- 23 Seo S, Xie H, Leisenring WM, et al. Risk factors for parainfluenza virus lower respiratory tract disease after hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2019; **25**: 163–71.
- 24 Hirsch HH, Martino R, Ward KN, Boeckh M, Einsele H, Ljungman P. Fourth European Conference on Infections in Leukaemia (ECIL-4): guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of human respiratory syncytial virus, parainfluenza virus, metapneumovirus, rhinovirus, and coronavirus. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013; **56**: 258–66.
- 25 Piñana JL, Micó-Cerdà M, Gómez D, et al. Early ribavirin reduce RSV symptoms duration in post-allogeneic stem cell transplant: challenges in symptoms duration assessment. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2025; **60**: 740–42.
- 26 Micó-Cerdà M, Pérez A, Montoro J, et al. Assessment of the Immunodeficiency Scoring Index for predicting outcomes after respiratory syncytial virus infection in allogeneic stem cell transplant recipients. *Transplant Cell Ther* 2025; **31**: 325.e1–17.
- 27 Lehnert N, Schnitzler P, Geis S, et al. Risk factors and containment of respiratory syncytial virus outbreak in a hematology and transplant unit. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2013; **48**: 1548–53.
- 28 Khanna N, Widmer AF, Decker M, et al. Respiratory syncytial virus infection in patients with hematological diseases: single-center study and review of the literature. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; **46**: 402–12.
- 29 Chemaly RF, Dadwal SS, Bergeron A, et al. A phase 2, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of presatovir for the treatment of respiratory syncytial virus upper respiratory tract infection in hematopoietic-cell transplant recipients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; **71**: 2777–86.
- 30 Ogimi C, Xie H, Waghmare A, et al. Correlation of initial upper respiratory tract viral burden with progression to lower tract disease in adult allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant recipients. *J Clin Virol* 2022; **150–51**: 105152.
- 31 Kumar D, Ferreira VH, Blumberg E, et al. A 5-year prospective multicenter evaluation of influenza infection in transplant recipients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2018; **67**: 1322–29.
- 32 Khanna N, Steffen I, Studt JD, et al. Outcome of influenza infections in outpatients after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2009; **11**: 100–05.
- 33 Meyer J, Gosert R, Bingisser R, Nickel CH, Tschudin-Sutter S, Leuzinger K. Diagnostic performance of a combined Rapid Antigen Test for detecting SARS-CoV-2, influenza virus, and respiratory syncytial virus in symptomatic patients in tertiary care. *J Med Virol* 2025; **7**: e70493.
- 34 Pereira MR. Influenza A (H5N1) virus clade 2.3.2.1a in traveler returning to Australia from India, 2024. *Am J Transplant* 2025; **25**: 457–58.
- 35 Jefferson T, Dooley L, Ferroni E, et al. Physical interventions to interrupt or reduce the spread of respiratory viruses. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2023; **1**: CD006207.
- 36 Lehnert N, Tabatabai J, Prifert C, et al. Long-term shedding of influenza virus, parainfluenza virus, respiratory syncytial virus and nosocomial epidemiology in patients with hematological disorders. *PLoS One* 2016; **11**: e0148258.
- 37 RSV Nosocomial Outbreak Investigation Team. Contributing and terminating factors of a large RSV outbreak in an adult hematology and transplant unit. *PLoS Curr* 2014; **6**: ecurrents.outbreaks.3bc85b2a508d205ecc4a5534ecb1f9be.
- 38 Gooskens J, Jonges M, Claas ECJ, Meijer A, Kroes ACM. Prolonged influenza virus infection during lymphocytopenia and frequent detection of drug-resistant viruses. *J Infect Dis* 2009; **199**: 1435–41.

- 39 Iioka F, Sada R, Maesako Y, Nakamura F, Ohno H. Outbreak of pandemic 2009 influenza A/H1N1 infection in the hematology ward: fatal clinical outcome of hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients and emergence of the H275Y neuraminidase mutation. *Int J Hematol* 2012; **96**: 364–69.
- 40 Shahid Z, Jain T, Dioveri V, et al. Best practice considerations by the American Society of Transplant and Cellular Therapy: infection prevention and management after chimeric antigen receptor t cell therapy for hematological malignancies. *Transplant Cell Ther* 2024; **30**: 955–69.
- 41 Dräger S, Bruni F, Bernasconi M, et al. Impact of swabbing location, self-swabbing, and food intake on SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection. *Microorganisms* 2024; **12**: 591.
- 42 Kakiuchi S, Tsuji M, Nishimura H, et al. Human parainfluenza virus type 3 infections in patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplants: the mode of nosocomial infections and prognosis. *Jpn J Infect Dis* 2018; **71**: 109–15.
- 43 Campbell AP, Guthrie KA, Englund JA, et al. Clinical outcomes associated with respiratory virus detection before allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015; **61**: 192–202.
- 44 Sheshadri A, Shah DP, Godoy M, et al. Progression of the Radiologic Severity Index predicts mortality in patients with parainfluenza virus-associated lower respiratory infections. *PLoS One* 2018; **13**: e0197418.
- 45 Boonyaratankornkit J, Vivek M, Xie H, et al. Predictive value of respiratory viral detection in the upper respiratory tract for infection of the lower respiratory tract with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *J Infect Dis* 2020; **221**: 379–88.
- 46 Garbino J, Soccia PM, Aubert JD, et al. Respiratory viruses in bronchoalveolar lavage: a hospital-based cohort study in adults. *Thorax* 2009; **64**: 399–404.
- 47 Chellapandian D, Lehrnbecher T, Phillips B, et al. Bronchoalveolar lavage and lung biopsy in patients with cancer and hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation recipients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Oncol* 2015; **33**: 501–09.
- 48 Kalverda KA, Ninaber MK, Wijmans L, et al. Transbronchial cryobiopsy followed by as-needed surgical lung biopsy versus immediate surgical lung biopsy for diagnosing interstitial lung disease (the COLD study): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2024; **12**: 513–22.
- 49 Korevaar DA, Colella S, Fally M, et al. European Respiratory Society guidelines on transbronchial lung cryobiopsy in the diagnosis of interstitial lung diseases. *Eur Respir J* 2022; **60**: 2200425.
- 50 Freund O, Wand O, Schneer S, et al. Transbronchial cryobiopsy is superior to forceps biopsy for diagnosing both fibrotic and non-fibrotic interstitial lung diseases. *Respiration* 2023; **102**: 852–60.
- 51 Hostettler KE, Jahn K, Halter J, et al. Diagnostic value of transbronchial cryobiopsy in patients with suspicion of pulmonary graft-versus-host disease after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Eur Respir J* 2023; **62** (suppl 67): PA2922.
- 52 Ottaviano G, Lucchini G, Breuer J, et al. Delaying haematopoietic stem cell transplantation in children with viral respiratory infections reduces transplant-related mortality. *Br J Haematol* 2020; **188**: 560–69.
- 53 Maschmeyer G, De Greef J, Mellinghoff SC, et al. Infections associated with immunotherapeutic and molecular targeted agents in hematology and oncology. A position paper by the European Conference on Infections in Leukemia (ECIL). *Leukemia* 2019; **33**: 844–62.
- 54 Piñana JL, Pérez A, Montoro J, et al. Clinical effectiveness of influenza vaccination after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: a cross-sectional, prospective, observational study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019; **68**: 1894–903.
- 55 Jackson D, Pitcher M, Hudson C, et al. Viral shedding in recipients of live attenuated influenza vaccine in the 2016–2017 and 2017–2018 influenza seasons in the United Kingdom. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; **70**: 2505–13.
- 56 Di Pietra G, Di Sopra S, Conciatori V, et al. Vaccine-related influenza virus B infection in a child with an undiagnosed B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Int J Infect Dis* 2024; **147**: 107184.
- 57 Karras NA, Weeres M, Sessions W, et al. A randomized trial of one versus two doses of influenza vaccine after allogeneic transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2013; **19**: 109–16.
- 58 Natori Y, Shiotsuka M, Slomovic J, et al. A double-blind, randomized trial of high-dose vs standard-dose influenza vaccine in adult solid-organ transplant recipients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2018; **66**: 1698–704.
- 59 Schuster JE, Hamdan L, Dulek DE, et al. The durability of antibody responses of two doses of high-dose relative to two doses of standard-dose inactivated influenza vaccine in pediatric hematopoietic cell transplant recipients: a multi-center randomized controlled trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2024; **78**: 217–26.
- 60 Thomas LD, Batarseh E, Hamdan L, et al. Comparison of two high-dose versus two standard-dose influenza vaccines in adult allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant recipients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2023; **77**: 1723–32.
- 61 Schuster JE, Hamdan L, Dulek DE, et al. Influenza vaccine in pediatric recipients of hematopoietic-cell transplants. *N Engl J Med* 2023; **388**: 374–76.
- 62 Bahakel H, Spieker AJ, Hayek H, et al. Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of high- or standard-dose influenza vaccine in a second consecutive influenza season. *J Infect Dis* 2025; **231**: e123–31.
- 63 Douglas AP, Trubiano JA, Barr I, Leung V, Slavin MA, Tam CS. Ibrutinib may impair serological responses to influenza vaccination. *Haematologica* 2017; **102**: e397–99.
- 64 Shree T, Shankar V, Lohmeyer JJK, et al. CD20-targeted therapy ablates de novo antibody response to vaccination but spares preestablished immunity. *Blood Cancer Discov* 2022; **3**: 95–102.
- 65 Walti CS, Loes AN, Shuey K, et al. Humoral immunogenicity of the seasonal influenza vaccine before and after CAR-T-cell therapy: a prospective observational study. *J Immunother Cancer* 2021; **9**: e003428.
- 66 Mercadal S, Gomez CA, Lee CJ, Couriel DR. Infectious complications following CAR-T cell therapy for B cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma: a single-center experience and review of the literature. *Ann Hematol* 2023; **102**: 1837–43.
- 67 Cordeiro AC, Durisek G, Batista MV, Schmidt J, de Lima M, Bezerra E. Late events after anti-CD19 CAR T-cell therapy for relapsed/refractory B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Front Oncol* 2024; **14**: 1404351.
- 68 Teh BW, Leung VKY, Mordant FL, et al. A randomized trial of two 2-dose influenza vaccination strategies for patients following autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021; **73**: e4269–77.
- 69 CDC. Influenza antiviral medications: summary for clinicians. Dec 8, 2028. <https://www.cdc.gov/flu/hcp/antivirals/summary-clinicians.html> (date accessed Aug 1, 2025).
- 70 Hayden FG, Atmar RL, Schilling M, et al. Use of the selective oral neuraminidase inhibitor oseltamivir to prevent influenza. *N Engl J Med* 1999; **341**: 1336–43.
- 71 Ison MG, Hirsch HH. Influenza: a recurrent challenge to transplantation. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2010; **12**: 95–97.
- 72 Yue MC, Collins JT, Subramoniapillai E, Kennedy GA. Successful use of oseltamivir prophylaxis in managing a nosocomial outbreak of influenza A in a hematology and allogeneic stem cell transplant unit. *Asia Pac J Clin Oncol* 2017; **13**: 37–43.
- 73 Tenforde MW, Noah KP, O'Halloran AC, et al. Timing of influenza antiviral therapy and risk of death in adults hospitalized with influenza-associated pneumonia, Influenza Hospitalization Surveillance Network (FluSurv-NET), 2012–2019. *Clin Infect Dis* 2025; **80**: 461–68.
- 74 Hayden FG, Sugaya N, Hirotsu N, et al. Baloxavir marboxil for uncomplicated influenza in adults and adolescents. *N Engl J Med* 2018; **379**: 913–23.
- 75 Ison MG, Portsmouth S, Yoshida Y, et al. Early treatment with baloxavir marboxil in high-risk adolescent and adult outpatients with uncomplicated influenza (CAPSTONE-2): a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020; **20**: 1204–14.
- 76 Ringer M, Malinis M, McManus D, et al. Clinical outcomes of baloxavir versus oseltamivir in immunocompromised patients. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2024; **26**: e14249.
- 77 Harada N, Shibata W, Koh H, et al. Successful treatment with baloxavir marboxil of a patient with peramivir-resistant influenza A/H3N2 with a dual E119D/R292K substitution after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation: a case report. *BMC Infect Dis* 2020; **20**: 478.
- 78 Hirotsu N, Sakaguchi H, Fukao K, et al. Baloxavir safety and clinical and virologic outcomes in influenza virus-infected pediatric patients by age group: age-based pooled analysis of two pediatric studies conducted in Japan. *BMC Pediatr* 2023; **23**: 35.

- 79 Kumar D, Ison MG, Mira JP, et al. Combining baloxavir marboxil with standard-of-care neuraminidase inhibitor in patients hospitalised with severe influenza (FLAGSTONE): a randomised, parallel-group, double-blind, placebo-controlled, superiority trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2022; **22**: 718–30.
- 80 Uehara T, Hayden FG, Kawaguchi K, et al. Treatment-emergent influenza variant viruses with reduced baloxavir susceptibility: impact on clinical and virologic outcomes in uncomplicated influenza. *J Infect Dis* 2020; **221**: 346–55.
- 81 Su CP, Chan KA, Huang CT, Fang CT. Inhaled zanamivir vs oral oseltamivir to prevent influenza-related hospitalization or death: a nationwide population-based quasi-experimental study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2022; **75**: 1273–79.
- 82 Gao Y, Guyatt G, Uyeki TM, et al. Antivirals for treatment of severe influenza: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Lancet* 2024; **404**: 753–63.
- 83 Lee N, Hui DSC, Zuo Z, et al. A prospective intervention study on higher-dose oseltamivir treatment in adults hospitalized with influenza A and B infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013; **57**: 1511–19.
- 84 Walsh EE, Pérez Marc G, Zareba AM, et al. Efficacy and safety of a bivalent RSV prefusion F vaccine in older adults. *N Engl J Med* 2023; **388**: 1465–77.
- 85 Papi A, Ison MG, Langley JM, et al. Respiratory syncytial virus prefusion F protein vaccine in older adults. *N Engl J Med* 2023; **388**: 595–608.
- 86 Wilson E, Goswami J, Baqui AH, et al. Efficacy and safety of an mRNA-based RSV preF vaccine in older adults. *N Engl J Med* 2023; **389**: 2233–44.
- 87 Chaer FE, Kaul DR, Englund JA, et al. American Society of Transplantation and Cellular Therapy Series: #7—management of respiratory syncytial virus infections in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients. *Transplant Cell Ther* 2023; **29**: 730–38.
- 88 Ison MG, Papi A, Athan E, et al. Efficacy and safety of respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) prefusion F protein vaccine (RSVpreF3 OA) in older adults over 2 RSV seasons. *Clin Infect Dis* 2024; **78**: 1732–44.
- 89 European Medicines Agency. Synagis: EPAR - product information. Oct 11, 2023. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/synagis-epar-product-information_en.pdf (accessed Aug 1, 2025).
- 90 European Medicines Agency. Beyfortus: EPAR - product information. June 17, 2025. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/beyfortus-epar-product-information_en.pdf (accessed Aug 1, 2025).
- 91 Sun M, Lai H, Na F, et al. Monoclonal antibody for the prevention of respiratory syncytial virus in infants and children: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open* 2023; **6**: e230023.
- 92 Sevendal ATK, Hurley S, Bartlett AW, Rawlinson W, Walker GJ. Systematic review of the efficacy and safety of RSV-specific monoclonal antibodies and antivirals in development. *Rev Med Virol* 2024; **34**: e2576.
- 93 Gueller S, Duenzinger U, Wolf T, et al. Successful systemic high-dose ribavirin treatment of respiratory syncytial virus-induced infections occurring pre-engraftment in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2013; **15**: 435–40.
- 94 Manothumetha K, Mongkolkaw T, Tovichayathamrong P, et al. Ribavirin treatment for respiratory syncytial virus infection in patients with haematologic malignancy and haematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2023; **29**: 1272–79.
- 95 Piñana JL, Hernández-Boluda JC, Calabuig M, et al. A risk-adapted approach to treating respiratory syncytial virus and human parainfluenza virus in allogeneic stem cell transplantation recipients with oral ribavirin therapy: a pilot study. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2017; **19**: e12729.
- 96 Ogimi C, Xie H, Waghmare A, et al. Novel factors to predict respiratory viral disease progression in allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant recipients. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2022; **57**: 649–57.
- 97 Pérez A, Montoro J, Chorão P, et al. Outcome of human parainfluenza virus infection in allogeneic stem cell transplantation recipients: possible impact of ribavirin therapy. *Infection* 2024; **52**: 1941–52.
- 98 Ljungman P, de la Camara R, Mikulska M, et al. COVID-19 and stem cell transplantation; results from an EBMT and GETH multicenter prospective survey. *Leukemia* 2021; **35**: 2885–94.
- 99 Anak S, Atay D, Unuvar A, et al. Respiratory syncytial virus infection outbreak among pediatric patients with oncologic diseases and/or BMT. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2010; **45**: 307–11.
- 100 Rowan CM, Gertz SJ, Zinter MS, et al. A multicenter investigation of respiratory syncytial viral infection in children with hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2018; **20**: e12882.
- 101 Casey J, Morris K, Narayana M, Nakagaki M, Kennedy GA. Oral ribavirin for treatment of respiratory syncytial virus and parainfluenza 3 virus infections post allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2013; **48**: 1558–61.
- 102 Beard OE, Freifeld A, Ison MG, et al. Current practices for treatment of respiratory syncytial virus and other non-influenza respiratory viruses in high-risk patient populations: a survey of institutions in the Midwestern Respiratory Virus Collaborative. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2016; **18**: 210–15.
- 103 Marcelin JR, Wilson JW, Razonable RR, the Mayo Clinic Hematology/Oncology and Transplant Infectious Diseases Services. Oral ribavirin therapy for respiratory syncytial virus infections in moderately to severely immunocompromised patients. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2014; **16**: 242–50.
- 104 Egli A, Bucher C, Dumoulin A, et al. Human metapneumovirus infection after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Infection* 2012; **40**: 677–84.
- 105 Shahda S, Carlos WG, Kiel PJ, Khan BA, Hage CA. The human metapneumovirus: a case series and review of the literature. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2011; **13**: 324–28.
- 106 Ogimi C, Englund JA, Bradford MC, Qin X, Boeckh M, Waghmare A. Characteristics and outcomes of coronavirus infection in children: the role of viral factors and an immunocompromised state. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc* 2019; **8**: 21–28.
- 107 Kim YJ, Waghmare A, Kuypers JM, et al. Impact of respiratory virus infection before hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) on post-transplant outcomes in adults in the PCR era: do rhinovirus and coronavirus infections matter? *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2017; **23**: S56.
- 108 Mowrer C, Lee BR, Goyal R, Selvarangan R, Schuster JE. Outcome of children with rhinovirus detection prior to allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant. *Pediatr Transplant* 2018; **22**: e13301.
- 109 Matthes-Martin S, Feuchtinger T, Shaw PJ, et al. European guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of adenovirus infection in leukemia and stem cell transplantation: summary of ECIL-4 (2011). *Transpl Infect Dis* 2012; **14**: 555–63.
- 110 Zecca M, Wynn R, Dalle JH, et al. Association between adenovirus viral load and mortality in pediatric allo-HCT recipients: the multinational AdVance study. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2019; **54**: 1632–42.
- 111 Hijano DR, Ferrolino JA, Hidingier J, et al. Clinical correlation of adenoviral load in the respiratory tract measured by digital PCR in immunocompromised children. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2023; **10**: ofad030.
- 112 Wu Q, Wu Y, Zhao Y, et al. Adenovirus infection diagnosed by metagenomic next-generation sequencing after haploidentical hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: a multicenter study in China. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2023; **25**: e14054.
- 113 González-Vicent M, Verna M, Pochon C, et al. Current practices in the management of adenovirus infection in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients in Europe: the AdVance study. *Eur J Haematol* 2019; **102**: 210–17.
- 114 Renzi S, Ali S, Portwine C, et al. Adenovirus infection in children with acute myeloid leukemia: a report from the Canadian Infection in Acute Myeloid Leukemia Research Group. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2018; **37**: 135–37.
- 115 Ogimi C, Martin ET, Xie H, et al. Role of human bocavirus respiratory tract infection in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021; **73**: e4392–99.